

## **Effects of Different Nitrogen Fertilizer Levels and Spacing on Phyllochron, Yield and Yield Components of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under System of Rice Intensification (SRI)**

Ei Ei Khing<sup>1</sup>, Kyaw Kyaw Win<sup>1\*</sup>, Than Da Min<sup>2</sup> and Soe Win<sup>3</sup>

### **Abstract**

The experiments were conducted to investigate the effects of different nitrogen levels and spacing on phyllochron until flag leaf and to determine interaction effects of different nitrogen fertilizer levels and spacing on the phyllochron, yield and yield components of rice under the system of rice intensification (SRI). Four levels of nitrogen fertilizer rate (0, 45, 85 and 125 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three spacing (15 × 15, 20 × 20 and 25 × 25 cm) were laid out in 4 × 3 factorial in randomized complete block design with three replications in both dry and wet seasons. Higher number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> was observed in dry season as compared to those in wet season. Different mean values of phyllochrons were resulted from different levels of N applied and spacing in both seasons. In general, phyllochrons were shorter as increased of N applied. The wider spacing resulted the shorter phyllochrons. The highest nitrogen fertilizer rate N<sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the widest spacing of S<sub>3</sub> (25 × 25 cm) gave the higher yield, yield components and agronomic parameters than the others. But, the maximum leaf area index (LAI), leaf dry weight (LDW), total dry matter (TDM) and crop growth rate (CGR) were produced by S<sub>1</sub> (15 × 15 cm) in both seasons. The combination effect of nitrogen fertilizer and spacing showed that the maximum yield was obtained from N<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + (25 × 25 cm)) in both seasons. Therefore, the fertilizer rate 125 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with spacing 25 × 25 cm should be used for rice to attain high grain yield under SRI.

**Key words:** rice, SRI, phyllochron, nitrogen, spacing

### **Introduction**

System of rice intensification (SRI) methods provide the highest yield when young seedlings are transplanted, less than 15 days old and preferably only 8–12 days, i.e., before the start of the fourth phyllochron (Stoop et al. 2002). The vital physiological principle of SRI practices is to provide optimal growing conditions to individual rice plant so that tillering is maximized and phyllochron is shortened (Nemoto et al. 1995). Phyllochron is the time interval between the appearances of successive leaves on the main stem during the rice development (Itoh and Sano 2006). Phyllochron study is a suitable method to better understand the plant vegetative growth and helps simulation of plant growth. Phyllochron differs in a function of temperature, day length, nutrition, light intensity, planting density and humidity (Veeramani et al. 2012). Therefore, the knowledge of phyllochron is useful for charac-

terizing plant development and determining when to apply management practices that depend on the crop developmental stage (Martínez-Eixarch et al. 2013). Nitrogen is the most limiting nutrient to rice growth and grain yield in almost all environments (Yoshida 1981). Therefore, proper nitrogen management is essential for optimizing rice grain yield (Fageria et al. 1997). Plant spacing is a vital production factor in transplanted rice (Bozorgi et al. 2011). Improper spacing decreased yield up to 20-30%. The optimum spacing ensures the plant to grow in their both aerial and underground parts through efficient utilization of solar radiation and nutrients (Mondal et al. 2013). Therefore, the present study was undertaken with the following objectives:

- to investigate the effects of different nitrogen fertilizer levels and spacing on phyllochron until flag leaf under the system of rice intensification (SRI) and
- to determine interaction effects of different

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, Yezin Agricultural University

<sup>2</sup>Hmawbi Campus, Yezin Agricultural University

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Breeding, Physiology and Ecology, Yezin Agricultural University

\*Corresponding author: drkkwinagro@gmail.com

nitrogen fertilizer levels and spacing on the phyllochron, yield and yield components of rice under SRI

## Materials and Methods

The experiments were conducted at the low-land field of Department of Agronomy, State Agriculture Institute (SAI), Patheingyi during dry season from March to June 2017 and during wet season from July to November 2017. Four levels of nitrogen fertilizer rate (0, 45, 85 and 125 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three spacing (15 × 15, 20 × 20 and 25 × 25 cm) were laid out in 4 × 3 factorial in randomized complete block design with three replications. Shwethweyin rice variety which was a widely cultivated variety in Patheingyi was used as a tested variety. Fourteen days old seedlings with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup> were transplanted for SRI. In this experiment, phosphorus fertilizer (63 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied as basal. Potassium fertilizer was applied at the rate of 63 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> in two equal split applications as basal and at panicle initiation stage (36 days after transplanting (DAT)). Nitrogen as urea was applied in three equal splits: one third at recovery stage (9 DAT), one third at active tillering stage (21 DAT) and the remaining one third at panicle initiation stage (36 DAT).

Plant height, number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> and SPAD values (Soil and Plant Analysis Development) from 5 sample hills (5 sample plants marked with sticks) were measured at weekly interval starting from 14 to 70 DAT. The number of leaves on the main culm, number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> and phyllochron were measured from the 4-leaf stage to the complete exertion of the flag leaf in 3-day intervals. Three sample plants were taken in each plot to measure total dry matter at 14-day intervals from 30 to 86 DAT. The grain yield was determined from a central 5 m<sup>2</sup> harvested area in each plot and was adjusted to 14% moisture content. The yield components data and panicle length were separately analyzed from 5 sample hills bordered with harvest area. Haun leaf, phyllochron, leaf area index (LAI) and crop growth rate (CGR) were calculated according to the following equation:

$$\text{Haun leaf} = \left[ \frac{\text{Ln}}{\text{L}_{(n-1)}} \right] + n - 1$$

Where; L<sub>n</sub> = the length of the youngest leaf blade above the collar of subtending leaf,  
L<sub>(n-1)</sub> = the length of the blade of the penultimate

(subtending) leaf and

n = the total number of leaves that are visible on the main culm

(Haun 1973)

$$\text{Phyllochron (d leaf}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Number of elapsed days between the two consecutive Haun leaves}}{\text{measurement difference between the two consecutive Haun leaf number measurements}}$$

(Wilhelm and McMaster 1995)

$$\text{LAI} = \frac{\text{Sum of the leaf area of all leaves}}{\text{Ground area of field where the leaves have been collected}}$$

(Yoshida 1981)

$$\text{CGR} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{T_2 - T_1} \times \frac{1}{G_A}$$

Where; W<sub>1</sub> = Plant dry weight at time T<sub>1</sub>, W<sub>2</sub> = Plant dry weight at time T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> = Time unit at first harvest, T<sub>2</sub> = Time unit at next harvest and G<sub>A</sub> = Ground area.

(Gardner et al. 1985)

The data were subjected to analysis of variance by Statistix (version 8.0) program and mean comparisons were performed by using Least Significant Difference (LSD) at 5 % level.

## Results and Discussion

### Yield and Yield Components

At different nitrogen fertilizer levels, grain yields and yield components of rice increased with increasing nitrogen application levels in both seasons (Table 1 and 2). N<sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) gave the maximum grain yields in both seasons. Increase in grain yield with the application of 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in rice was found by Majid (2012). In dry season, the maximum number of panicle hill<sup>-1</sup> was observed from N<sub>2</sub> which was not significantly different from N<sub>3</sub>. In wet season, the maximum number of panicle hill<sup>-1</sup> was attained from N<sub>3</sub>. The maximum number of panicle m<sup>-2</sup> was produced by 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Bali et al. 1995). The maximum filled grain % was obtained from N<sub>3</sub> in dry season and N<sub>2</sub> in wet season. In both seasons, the maximum number of spikelets panicle<sup>-1</sup>, 1000-grain weight, panicle length and harvest index were observed from N<sub>3</sub>.

Among the different spacing, the widest spacing, S<sub>3</sub> (25 × 25 cm) gave the maximum grain yield, number of panicle hill<sup>-1</sup>, number of spikelets panicle<sup>-1</sup>, filled grain %, 1000-grain weight and panicle length in both seasons (Table 1 and 2). The maximum harvest index was produced by S<sub>3</sub> in dry season and S<sub>2</sub> in wet season. Karki (2009) described that 25 × 25 cm spacing produced higher grain yield. Baloch et al. 2002 found that the highest number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> was attained from 25 × 25

Table 1. Mean effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and spacing on grain yield and yield components of rice during the dry season, 2017

Treatment	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	No. of panicles hill <sup>-1</sup>	No. of spike- lets panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Filled grain %	1000-grain weight (g)	Panicle length (cm)	Harvest Index
<b><u>Nitrogen fertilizer (N)</u></b>							
N <sub>0</sub> (Control)	3.12 c	11.44 c	75.13 b	74.89	18.94 c	18.36 d	0.43 c
N <sub>1</sub> (45 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	4.49 b	14.44 b	84.83 a	74.06	20.06 b	19.83 c	0.52 b
N <sub>2</sub> (85 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5.22 a	16.89 a	85.38 a	74.78	20.61 ab	20.30 b	0.53 b
N <sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	5.38 a	16.33 a	87.77 a	77.91	21.50 a	20.82 a	0.57 a
<b>LSD</b> <sub>0.05</sub>	0.25	1.33	7.66	7.27	1.03	0.35	0.01
<b><u>Spacing (S)</u></b>							
S <sub>1</sub> (15 cm × 15 cm)	4.13 c	8.67 c	78.76 b	73.78	19.79 b	19.58 b	0.50 b
S <sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm)	4.59 b	15.00 b	85.27 ab	73.11	19.79 b	19.88 ab	0.52 a
S <sub>3</sub> (25 cm × 25 cm)	4.94 a	20.67 a	85.81 a	79.33	21.25 a	20.02 a	0.52 a
<b>LSD</b> <sub>0.05</sub>	0.22	1.15	6.64	6.29	0.89	0.31	0.01
<b>Pr&gt;F</b>							
N	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0125	0.7025	0.0004	<0.0001	<0.0001
S	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0700	0.1023	0.0029	0.0184	0.0137
N × S	0.0854	0.0203	0.5974	0.8370	0.1292	0.0251	0.0019
<b>CV%</b>	5.63	9.19	9.41	9.86	5.18	1.82	2.93

Means followed by the same letter within the column are not significantly different at 5% level.

Table 2. Mean effects of nitrogen fertilizer rate and spacing on grain yield and yield components of rice during the wet season, 2017

Treatment	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	No. of panicles hill <sup>-1</sup>	No. of spike- lets panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Filled grain %	1000-grain weight (g)	Panicle length (cm)	Harvest Index
<b><u>Nitrogen fertilizer (N)</u></b>							
N <sub>0</sub> (Control)	2.87 c	12.00 c	74.78 c	72.33 c	18.61 b	18.64 c	0.42 c
N <sub>1</sub> (45 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.93 b	13.78 b	79.11 b	75.44bc	19.89 a	19.77 b	0.51 b
N <sub>2</sub> (85 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	4.49 a	14.22 ab	85.00 a	79.22 a	20.11 a	20.23 b	0.52 b
N <sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	4.62 a	14.67 a	85.89 a	76.78 ab	20.33 a	21.10 a	0.55 a
<b>LSD</b> <sub>0.05</sub>	0.24	0.65	2.18	3.26	0.57	0.64	0.02
<b><u>Spacing (S)</u></b>							
S <sub>1</sub> (15 cm × 15 cm)	3.48 c	7.00 c	79.75 b	74.58 b	19.17 b	19.59 b	0.49
S <sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm)	3.91 b	13.42 b	79.83 b	74.33 b	19.29 b	19.89 ab	0.51
S <sub>3</sub> (25 cm × 25 cm)	4.54 a	20.58 a	84.00 a	78.92 a	20.75 a	20.33 a	0.50
<b>LSD</b> <sub>0.05</sub>	0.21	0.57	1.89	2.83	0.49	0.56	0.02
<b><u>Pr&gt;F</u></b>							
N	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0023	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
S	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0001	0.0040	<0.0001	0.0367	0.1378
N × S	0.0008	0.0002	0.1065	0.0541	0.0131	0.4079	0.1888
<b>CV%</b>	6.16	4.89	2.75	4.40	2.96	3.29	3.74

Means followed by the same letter within the column are not significantly different at 5% level.

cm spacing in transplanted rice.

There were not interaction between nitrogen and spacing on yield during dry season. But, in wet season, there was a significant interaction on grain yield between nitrogen fertilization and spacing. However, in both seasons, the maximum yield of rice were observed from  $N_3S_3$ . There were interaction between nitrogen and spacing on grain yield (Salahuddin et al. 2009).

#### **Agronomic Characters**

In both seasons, there was highly significant difference in plant height among different nitrogen fertilizer levels (Table 3 and 4). Plant heights were gradually increased as affected by nitrogen applied. Among spacing, there was no significant difference in plant height (Table 3 and 4). There was highly significant difference in number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> at both different nitrogen fertilizer levels and spacing (Table 3 and 4). The number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> increased with increased nitrogen application. Salahuddin et al. (2009) observed that the number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> increased with the increasing nitrogen applied up to 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> was superior at the widest spacing of  $S_3$ . Sultana et al. (2012) discovered the highest number of effective tillers per hill with 25 cm row spacing in rice. SPAD value resulted from nitrogen applied were significantly higher than that of control ( $N_0$ ) (Table 3 and 4). The maximum SPAD value was attained from  $S_3$  among different spacing. The more nitrogen applied, the more leaf area index (LAI), leaf dry weight (LDW), total dry matter (TDM) and crop growth rate (CGR) of rice resulted (Table 3 and 4). LDW value of higher nitrogen fertilizer levels was higher than those of lower levels (Azarpour et al. 2014). The maximum LAI, LDW, TDM and CGR were resulted from  $S_1$ .

The number of leaves on the main culm and leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> were significantly affected by N application (Table 3 and 4). The maximum number of leaves on the main culm and leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> were produced by  $N_3$ . Among spacing, the maximum number of leaves on the main culm and leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> were observed from  $S_3$ . The maximum number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> was produced by 25 × 25 cm spacing (Karki 2009). Higher number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> was observed in dry season as compared to those in wet season.

#### **Phyllochron**

In different rates of nitrogen fertilizer of dry season, there was significantly different in phyllochron at all leaf numbers on the main culm except

leaf number 13 (Table 5). According to the results of the experiment, phyllochrons applied different nitrogen fertilizers levels were significantly shorter than that of  $N_0$ . At leaf number 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 18, shorter phyllochrons were obtained from  $N_3$ . In wet season, significant differences in phyllochrons among the different nitrogen fertilizer were observed from leaf number 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 16 (Table 6). At leaf number 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13 and 15, shorter phyllochrons were obtained from  $N_3$ . Phyllochron was short with increasing nitrogen (Hokmalipour 2011).

In dry season, there was significantly different among spacing in phyllochron at 7, 9, 10, 12, 15 and 18 leaf numbers on the main culm (Table 5). At leaf number 7, 9, 10, 16, 17 and 18, phyllochrons were short in the widest spacing  $S_3$ . In wet season, there was significantly different among spacing in phyllochron at 6 and 7 leaf numbers on the main culm (Table 6). At leaf number 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15 and 16, phyllochron in  $S_3$  was shorter than  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . With increasing plant density, phyllochron length was long (Nemoto et al. 1995 and Hokmalipour et al. 2010). In general, phyllochrons were shorter as increased of N applied. The wider spacing resulted the shorter phyllochron. Interaction was found between different rates of nitrogen fertilizers and spacing in both seasons (Table 5 and 6). The shortest phyllochron length was attained from the highest nitrogen fertilizer level and the lowest plant density (Hokmalipour et al. 2010).

#### **Conclusion**

According to the result of this study, higher number of leaves hill<sup>-1</sup> was observed in dry season as compared to those in wet season. Different mean values of phyllochrons were resulted from different levels of N applied and spacing in both seasons. In general, phyllochrons were shorter as increased of N applied. The wider spacing resulted the shorter phyllochron. Shorter phyllochron increases the number of leaves and tillers at vegetative stage. Consequently, increase number of panicles may contribute rice yield. The highest nitrogen fertilizer rate ( $N_3$ ) and the widest spacing ( $S_3$ ) gave better growth performance, yield and yield components than the others. So,  $N_3S_3$  should be used for Shwethweyin rice variety to attain high yield. Therefore, further phyllochron studies on other different rice varieties in relation with management practices are useful research in rice.

Table 3. Mean effects of nitrogen fertilizer rate and spacing on agronomic characters and plant growth of rice during dry season, 2017

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. tiller hill <sup>-1</sup>	SPAD value	LAI At (86 DAT)	LDW At (86 DAT)	TDM At (86 DAT)	CGR (72-86DAT)	leaves on the main culm(No.)	leaves hill <sup>-1</sup> (No.)
<b><u>Nitrogen fertilizer (N)</u></b>									
N <sub>0</sub> (Control)	65.87 c	14.67 c	35.84 c	0.90 d	191.54 d	825.00 d	28.92 b	16.11 c	51.44 c
N <sub>1</sub> (45 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	74.27 b	18.00 b	40.07 b	1.78 c	255.04 c	967.20 c	20.97 c	17.56 b	66.44 b
N <sub>2</sub> (85 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	80.67 a	19.22 ab	43.17 a	2.14 b	273.76 b	1157.40 b	29.20 b	20.67 a	73.56 a
N <sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	81.77 a	19.89 a	43.84 a	2.58 a	324.31 a	1333.8 a	40.12 a	20.78 a	77.00 a
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	4.09	1.38	0.89	0.25	12.53	35.00	2.87	0.47	3.56
<b><u>Spacing (S)</u></b>									
S <sub>1</sub> (15 cm × 15 cm)	76.20 ab	12.92 c	39.92 b	2.58 a	290.09 a	1244.30 a	30.95	18.08 b	60.92 b
S <sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm)	77.23 a	17.92 b	40.93 a	1.77 b	271.18 b	1056.20 b	28.55	19.00 a	70.42 a
S <sub>3</sub> (25 cm × 25 cm)	73.50 b	23.00 a	41.35 a	1.20 c	222.22 c	912.00 c	29.92	19.25 a	70.00 a
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	3.54	1.20	0.77	0.21	10.85	30.31	2.49	0.41	3.08
<b><u>Pr&gt;F</u></b>									
N	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
S	0.1021	<0.0001	0.0027	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
N × S	0.4858	0.2933	0.0202	0.0002	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0131	0.5795
<b>CV%</b>	5.53	7.88	2.24	13.61	4.91	3.34	9.85	2.57	5.42

Means followed by the same letter within the column are not significantly different at 5% level.

Table 4. Mean effects of nitrogen fertilizer rate and spacing on agronomic characters and plant growth of rice during wet season, 2017

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. tiller hill <sup>-1</sup>	SPAD value	LAI At (86 DAT)	LDW At (86 DAT)	TDM At (86 DAT)	CGR (72-86DAT)	leaves on the main culm(No.)	leaves hill <sup>-1</sup> (No.)
<b><u>Nitrogen fertilizer (N)</u></b>									
N <sub>0</sub> (Control)	67.24 c	15.56 b	36.17 c	0.54 c	209.53 c	808.40 d	31.44 c	12.00 c	33.44 c
N <sub>1</sub> (45 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	75.67 b	17.44 a	41.34 b	1.38 b	259.32 b	1125.80 c	40.84 b	13.33 b	45.00 b
N <sub>2</sub> (85 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	80.78 a	18.11 a	41.70 b	1.63 a	353.77 a	1318.00 b	50.00 a	14.67 a	48.89 a
N <sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	82.29 a	18.22 a	42.93 a	1.74 a	355.36 a	1431.7 a	47.88 a	15.11 a	49.44 a
<b>LSD</b> <sub>0.05</sub>	2.78	1.14	1.07	0.21	18.34	27.44	2.43	0.53	3.08
<b><u>Spacing (S)</u></b>									
S <sub>1</sub> (15 cm × 15 cm)	78.10 a	11.50 c	40.07	1.99 a	372.18 a	1469.00 a	48.20 a	13.58	42.83 b
S <sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm)	75.75 ab	16.67 b	40.62	1.15 b	299.31 b	1188.60 b	47.97 a	13.75	43.75ab
S <sub>3</sub> (25 cm × 25 cm)	75.63 b	23.83 a	40.93	0.83 c	212.00 c	855.30 c	31.56 b	14.00	46.00 a
<b>LSD</b> <sub>0.05</sub>	2.41	0.99	0.93	0.18	15.88	23.76	2.11	0.46	2.66
<b>Pr&gt;F</b>									
N	<0.0001	0.0002	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
S	0.0784	<0.0001	0.1749	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.1885	0.0594
N × S	0.2134	0.0547	0.2910	0.0006	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.1452	0.2601
<b>CV%</b>	3.72	6.72	2.70	15.90	6.37	2.40	5.85	3.93	7.12

Means followed by the same letter within the column are not significantly different at 5% level.

**Table 5. Mean effects of nitrogen fertilizer rate and spacing on phyllochron of each leaf on the main culm of rice during the dry season, 2017**

Treatment	Number of leaf on the main culm																	
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18				
<b>Phyllochron (Days leaf<sup>-1</sup>)</b>																		
<b>Nitrogen fertilizer (N)</b>																		
N <sub>0</sub> (Control)	4.25 a	3.40 a	3.20 a	2.83 b	6.01 a	5.36 a	2.94 a	3.39 a	3.43	3.31 a	5.12 a	3.72 a	3.67 a	3.00 a				
N <sub>1</sub> (45 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.51 b	2.85 b	3.21 a	3.35 a	4.77 b	3.53 b	2.98 a	3.21 ab	3.07	3.64 a	3.42 b	3.21 ab	2.79 b	3.13 a				
N <sub>2</sub> (85 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.46 b	2.43 c	2.92 ab	2.90 b	3.11 c	3.36 b	2.73 ab	2.80 bc	2.88	2.47 b	2.67 c	2.66 b	2.50 b	2.46 b				
N <sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.61 b	2.71 bc	2.52 b	2.89 b	3.18 c	3.48 b	2.39 b	2.57 c	2.78	2.24 b	2.35 c	2.76 b	2.58 b	2.37 b				
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	0.50	0.32	0.54	0.40	0.59	0.74	0.41	0.52	0.68	0.53	0.68	0.60	0.43	0.40				
<b>Spacing (S)</b>																		
S <sub>1</sub> (15 cm × 15 cm)	3.78	2.73	3.16 a	2.98	4.78 a	4.31 a	2.65	3.32 a	2.94	3.07 a	3.97 a	3.20	3.04	3.23 a				
S <sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm)	3.67	2.87	3.14 a	2.90	4.13 b	4.02 ab	2.87	2.51 b	3.25	2.60 b	2.79 b	3.18	2.93	2.56 b				
S <sub>3</sub> (25 cm × 25 cm)	3.67	2.96	2.59 b	3.10	3.89 b	3.47 b	2.76	3.16 a	2.94	3.07 a	3.41 a	2.88	2.68	2.44 b				
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	0.43	0.28	0.46	0.35	0.51	0.64	0.35	0.45	0.59	0.46	0.59	0.52	0.37	0.35				
<b>Pt&gt;F</b>																		
N	0.0121	<0.0001	0.0479	0.0505	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0253	0.0138	0.2304	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0049	<0.0001	0.0011				
S	0.8293	0.2603	0.0284	0.4776	0.0044	0.0404	0.4598	0.0028	0.4532	0.0704	0.0016	0.3783	0.1464	0.0002				
N × S	0.2879	0.1010	0.5401	0.3917	0.0010	0.3266	0.0126	0.2945	0.2856	0.0196	0.0017	0.0170	0.0450	0.0326				
<b>CV%</b>	13.76	11.50	18.46	13.63	14.18	19.36	15.13	17.80	22.78	18.69	20.56	19.74	15.20	14.98				

Means followed by the same letter within the column are not significantly different at 5% level.



**Table 6. Mean effects of nitrogen fertilizer rate and spacing on phyllochron of each leaf on the main culm of rice during the wet season, 2017**

Treatment	Number of leaf on the main culm															
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16				
<b>Phyllochron (Days leaf<sup>-1</sup>)</b>																
<b>Nitrogen fertilizer (N)</b>																
N <sub>0</sub> (Control)	3.44 a	2.51 a	8.60 a	10.73 a	4.27	4.84 a	5.28 a	5.56	6.25	5.76 a	2.80	5.00 a				
N <sub>1</sub> (45 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.12 ab	2.04 b	4.94 b	4.78 b	4.13	4.26 ab	4.06 b	5.81	5.76	5.94 a	2.91	3.65 b				
N <sub>2</sub> (85 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.01 ab	2.23 ab	3.70 c	3.58 c	3.51	3.84 b	3.56 b	5.28	5.40	4.46 b	3.04	3.06 b				
N <sub>3</sub> (125 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2.81 b	2.24 ab	3.29 c	3.57 c	3.32	3.58 b	3.58 b	5.90	5.33	4.99 ab	2.80	3.25 b				
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	0.52	0.32	1.09	1.13	0.75	0.72	0.64	0.93	1.18	1.20	0.28	0.73				
<b>Spacing (S)</b>																
S <sub>1</sub> (15 cm × 15 cm)	2.98	2.36 a	5.26 b	5.73	4.01	4.37	3.80	5.79	6.00 a	5.11	2.90	3.62				
S <sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm)	3.02	2.04 b	6.38 a	5.68	3.89	4.00	4.20	5.64	6.09 a	5.24	2.91	3.99				
S <sub>3</sub> (25 cm × 25 cm)	3.29	2.36 a	3.76 c	5.59	3.52	4.02	4.35	5.48	4.97 b	5.51	2.86	3.61				
<b>LSD<sub>0.05</sub></b>	0.45	0.28	0.94	0.98	0.65	0.63	0.56	0.81	1.02	1.04	0.25	0.63				
<b>Pt&gt;F</b>																
N	0.1182	0.0455	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0406	0.0092	<0.0001	0.5196	0.3813	0.0628	0.2724	<0.0001				
S	0.3240	0.0362	<0.0001	0.9561	0.2821	0.4155	0.1268	0.7306	0.0600	0.7271	0.9182	0.3749				
N × S	0.5430	0.3640	<0.0001	0.0170	0.6404	0.6907	0.2586	0.0212	0.2336	0.9218	0.0618	0.0398				
<b>CV%</b>	17.23	14.74	21.67	20.35	20.15	17.93	15.91	16.95	21.21	23.22	10.04	19.99				

Means followed by the same letter within the column are not significantly different at 5% level.

## References

- Azarpour, E., M. Moraditochae and H. R. Bozorgi. 2014. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer management on growth analysis of rice cultivars. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 4(5): 35-47.
- Bali, A., M. Sidique, B. A. Ganai, H. U. Khan, K. N. Singh and A. S. Bali. 1995. Response of rice (*Oryza sativa*) genotype to nitrogen level under transplanted condition in Kashmir Valley. *Indian Journal Agronomy*, 40(1): 35-37.
- Baloch, A. W., A. M. Somro, M. A. Javed, M. Ahmed, H. R. Budhio, M. S. Bughio and N. N. Mastoi. 2002. Optimum plant density for high yield in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Asian Journal Plant Sciences*, 1(1): 25-27.
- Bozorgi, H. R., A. Faraji, R. K. Danesh, A. Keshavarz, E. Azarpour and F. Tarighi. 2011. Effect of plant density on yield and yield components of rice. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 12(11): 2053-2057.
- Fageria, N. K., V. C. Baligar, and C. A. Jones. 1997. Growth and mineral nutrition of field crops. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Marcel Dekkar, New York. 624p.
- Gardner, F. P., R. B. Pearce and R. L. Mitchell. 1985. Physiology of crop plants. Iowa State University. pp. 31-36.
- Haun, J. R. 1973. Visual quantification of wheat development. *Agronomy Journal*, 65: 116-117.
- Hokmalipour, S. 2011. The study of phyllochron and leaf appearance rate in three cultivar of maize (*Zea mays* L.) at nitrogen fertilizer levels. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 12(6): 850-856.
- Hokmalipour, S., R. Seyedsharifi, S. Jamaati-e-Somarin, M. Hassanzadeh, M. Shiri-e-Janagard and R. Zabihi-e-Mahmoodabad. 2010. Evaluation of plant density and nitrogen fertilizer on yield, yield components and growth of maize. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 8(9): 1157-1162.
- Itoh, Y., and Y. Sano. 2006. Phyllochron dynamics under controlled environments in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Euphytica*, 150(1-2): 87-95.
- Karki, K. B. 2009. Productivity and economic viability of rice under different planting pattern and age of seedlings through system of rice intensification (SRI). M.Sc. Thesis, Department Agronomy, Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science (IAAS), pp. 56-74.
- Majid, A. 2012. The effect of water saving irrigation and nitrogen fertilizer on rice production in paddy fields of Iran. *International Journal of Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics*, 2(1): 56-59.
- Martínez-Eixarch, M., Z. De-Feng, M. D. M. Català-Fórner, E. Pla-Mayor and N. Tomás-Navarro. 2013. Water, nitrogen and plant density affect the response of leaf appearance of direct seeded rice to thermal time. *Rice Science*, 20(1): 52-60.
- Mondal, M. M. A., A. B. Puteh, M. R. Ismail and M. Y. Rafii. 2013. Optimizing plant spacing for modern rice varieties. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 15: 175-178.
- Nemoto, K., S. Morita and T. Baba. 1995. Shoot and root development in rice related to the phyllochron. *Crop Science*, 35: 24-29.
- Salahuddin, K. M., S. H. Chowdhury, S. Munira, M. M. Islam and S. Parvin. 2009. Response of nitrogen and plant spacing of transplanted aman rice. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 34(2): 279-285.
- Stoop, W. A., N. Uphoff and A. Kassam. 2002. A review of agricultural research issue raised by the system of rice intensification (SRI) from Madagascar: Opportunities for improving system for resource poor farmers. *Agricultural Systems*, 71: 249-274.
- Sultana, M. R., M. M. Rahman and M. H. Rahman. 2012. Effect of row and hill spacing on the yield performance of boro rice (cv. BRRI dhan45) under aerobic system of cultivation. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 10(1): 39-42.
- Veeramani, P., R. Durai Singh and K. Subrahmanian. 2012. Study of phyllochron - system of rice intensification (SRI) technique. *Agricultural Science Research Journal*, 2(6): 329-334.
- Wilhelm, W. W. and G. S. McMaster. 1995. Importance of the phyllochron in studying development and growth in grasses. *Crop Science*, 35: 1-3.
- Yoshida, S. 1981. Fundamentals of rice crop science. IRRI. Los Banos, Philippines. 269p.